

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,482	01/31/2006	Michael Bazylenko		5757
	7590 09/13/200	EXAMINER		
Michael Bazylenko 68 Headland Road North Curl Curl, 2099 AUSTRALIA			BHAT, NARAYAN KAMESHWAR	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
	·			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<del> </del>		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/566,48	32	BAZYLENKO, MICHAEL			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Narayan k	(. Bhat	1634			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failus Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP EHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior er to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH 1.136(a). In no eve and will apply and wi ute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tir ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of the (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	•	—. nis action is n	on-final.				
,							
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims	•					
•		n.	•				
-	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to:						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election rec	suirement.		·		
			, a.i. 5				
Applicati	on Papers						
,	The specification is objected to by the Exami						
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	•		
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
•	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have bee	n received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	riority docume	ents have been receiv	ed in this Nationa	al Stage		
	application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rul	e 17.2(a)).				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certi	fied copies not receive	ed.			
·							
Attachmen			A) [] Internitory Order	. (DTO 442)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/566,482

Art Unit: 1634

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I claims 1-15, are drawn to a biochip for testing biological substances.

Group II claims 16-17 are drawn to a method of manufacturing a biochip.

Group III claims 18-20 are drawn to a method of testing biological substance.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: There is no common technical feature which joins all the claims. Group I, claim 1 is a biochip for testing biological substances comprising a plurality of binding sites, optical means for determining a specific binding event at each binding site, wherein the plurality of binding sites and the means for determining a specific binding event at each binding site are monolithically integrated into a single chip which is electrically powered and produces electrical signals in response to binding events at each binding site. Group II, claim 16 does not require the production of electrical signals in response to binding events at each binding sites. Group III, claim 18, does not require photolithography and etching

Application/Control Number: 10/566,482

Art Unit: 1634

steps. Even if all of the claims recited these features, they would not be joined by a special technical feature because these features are taught by Jiang et al (USPN 6,203,985 issued March 20, 2001, See Figs.1 -5 and descriptions thereof), who teaches a plurality of binding sites (Fig. 3, element # 32'), array of light sources (Fig. 3, element # 35'), optical means for determining a specific binding event at each binding site (column 5, lines 40-45), production of electrical signals in response to binding events at each binding site (columns 4 and 5, lines 60-67 and 1-8) and means for determining a specific binding event at each binding site are monolithically integrated into a single chip (Figs. 3-5).

Thus, there is no special technical feature linking the recited groups, as would be necessary to fulfill the requirements for unity of invention.

Applicant is reminded that all amended claims have to be identified with Status identifiers. Please follow MPEP guidelines and a brief outline to identify the status of the claims is listed below.

Status Identifiers: The current status of all of the claims in the application including any previously canceled or withdrawn claims must be given. Status is indicated in a parenthetical expression following the claim number by one of the following status identifiers: (original), (currently amended), (previously presented), (canceled), (withdrawn), (new), or (not entered).

Applicant is also reminded to review all the claim dependencies to make sure they conform to USPTO guidelines.

Art Unit: 1634

## Notice of Possible Rejoinder

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1634

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Narayan K. Bhat whose telephone number is (571)-272-5540. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30 am to 5 pm.

Art Unit: 1634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram R. Shukla can be reached on (571)-272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

( BARA)

Narayan K. Bhat, Ph. D.

Examiner

Art Unit 1634

JULIET C. SWITZER PRIMARY EXAMINER